Astr 5465 March 2, 2020

Photometric Properties Galaxies: Ellipticals

Photometric Properties of Elliptical Galaxies 16 Surface photometry (e.g. GALFIT) Requires accurate flat fields and sky subtraction 18 Mask stars and contaminants Fit ellipses at (say) logarithmic surface brightness levels 20 Adopt average ellipticity and position angle Compute projected radius for each image pixel Em 22 and bin to create surface brightness profile Rapid decrease in surface brightness with radius 24 **De-projection via Abel integral equation** Few analytic pairs [I(R) vs. j(R)] exist 26 Hubble Law: $I(R) = \frac{I_0}{\left(1 + \frac{R}{R}\right)^2}$ 28 0.5 de Vaucouleurs ($\mathbb{R}^{1/4}$) law: $I(R) = I_e \exp\left(-7.67 \left[\left(\frac{R}{R_e}\right)^{1/4} - 1 \right] \right]$ or in surface brightness (mags/arcsec²): $\mu(R) = \mu(R_e) + 8.325 \left[\left(\frac{R}{R_e} \right)^{1/4} - 1 \right]$ Sersic law (more general): $I(R) = I_e \exp\left(-b\left|\left(\frac{R}{R_e}\right)^{1/n} - 1\right|\right)$ where $b = 1.999 \ n - 0.327 (N > 1)$



Photometric Properties of Ellipticals: Cores

Core Properties of Elliptical Galaxies

- Fit cores and envelopes with separate power-law profiles
- "Nuker" profiles (double power-law):
- $I(R) = I_b 2^{(\beta-\gamma)/\alpha} (R/R_b)^{-\gamma} [1 + (R/R_b)^{\alpha}]^{(\gamma-\beta)/\alpha}$
- Power laws (diverge at R =0):
 j(r) ~ r^{-1.9}
- Cuspy cores (breaks flatter at small R): j(r) ~ r-0.8
- Power laws found in lower luminosity ellipticals $M_v > -20.5$
- Cores found in higher luminosity ellipticals $M_v < -20.5$
- Low luminosity dwarf spheroidals don't fit in
- Dead irregulars?
- Kormendy's summary
 - Average surface brightness of Es falls with increasing luminosity
 - Dwarf spheroidal population distinct from classical elliptical galaxies
 - Globular clusters are not just small ellipticals.
 •Much denser (more tightly bound)
 •No dark matter?



Photometric Properties Galaxies: Spirals

Photometric Properties of Spiral Galaxies

- Surface photometry
 - Fit ellipses at (say) logarithmic surface brightness levels
 - Adopt average ellipticity and position angle
 - Compute projected radius for each pixel and bin
- Two components in SB profile
 - Bulge (elliptical-like)
 - Exponential Disk
 - Bulge-Disk decomposition
 - Color gradients
- Model fits:

For the bulge:

$$\mu(R) = \mu(R_e) + 8.325 \left[\left(\frac{R}{R_e} \right)^{1/4} - 1 \right]$$

Typical values for R_e are 0.5-4 kpc. Integration of intensity yields:

 $L_{Total} = 7.22\pi R_e^2 I_e$

For the disk:

$$I(R) = I_0 \exp\left(\frac{-R}{R_d}\right) \text{ or}$$
$$\mu(R) = \mu_0 + 1.086 \left(\frac{R}{R_d}\right)$$

where R_d is the disk scale length. Typical values for R_d are 2 - 5 kpc.



Kinematic Properties Galaxies: Spirals

Kinematic Properties of Spiral Galaxies

Rotation curves

٠

- One-dimensional slit spectra
- Flat rotation curves (Rubin)
- Two-dimensional velocity fields
 - 21-cm velocity fields
 - Integrated spectra (Fisher & Tully)
 - Channel maps and Spider diagrams
 (Bosma)
 - ALMA will enable this in CO at high z









Kinematic Properties Galaxies: Ellipticals

NS.

• Velocity dispersions in ellipticals

Slit and fiber spectra

- See Brault & White 1971 for General Application of FFTs to Spectroscopy
- Template Fitting of Elliptical Spectra with Giant Star Spectra as Template
- Absorption line spectra of Ellipticals
- K-giant template spectra
- Fourier quotient method
- Fitting in Fourier Space (Sargent et al 1977)
- Cross-correlation Method
- Fitting in Real Space
 - (Tonry and Davis 1981)
- See IRAF XCORR documentation
- Result is the Line-of-sight Velocity Distribution (LOSVD) function

– Models

- Most LOSVDs are well-fit with Gaussian so usually only dispersions are reported
- Mapping from 2D IFUs is possible
- Kinematically distinct cores
- Substructure, etc.
- Tensor Virial Theorm:
- V_m/σ vs ellipticity implies flattening of elliptical is due to anisotropy (not rotationally supported)



 $M_B^{(1)} > -20.5$ are shown as filled circles; ellipticals with $M_B^{(2)} < -20.5$, as open circles; and the bulges of disk galaxies, as crosses. The solid line shows the $(V/\sigma, \epsilon)$ -relation for oblate galaxies with isotropic velocity dispersions (Binney 1978).

5

Scaling Relations of Spiral Galaxies

- **Tully-Fisher Relation**
 - Empirical correlation between the amplitude of disk rotation curves and the luminosity of the galaxy.
 - Remarkable because it implies integrated star formation history is somehow regulated by the DM halo.
 - Star Formation Feedback?
 - Used to measure redshift-independent distances and to measure H₀
 - Should provide a measure of galaxy evolution since dark matter and luminous matter should evolve independently.



Scaling Relations of Elliptical Galaxies

- **Fundamental Plane**
 - Ellipticals occupy a 3-d plane (Djorgovski & Davis 1987)
 - Predicted by the virial theorm
 - Projection of the plane can result in an indicator of distance
 - Similar to the Tully-Fisher relation but for ellipticals
 - Both relations are calibrated nearby using stars (more about this later) and then used to measure the Hubble Constant over large scales
 - Both relations can be applied at moderate/high redshift in order to parameterize the evolution of galaxies



Nuclei of Galaxies

- Active galactic Nuclei
 - Existence of AGN invites investigation of galactic nuclei
 - Highly collimated jets and rapid variability implies small size of source.
 - More about this later but let's examine properties of nuclei

Nearness of M31 allows high spatial resolution

- Early work identified stellar object as nucleus
- High spatial resolution imaging showed this to be an error
- Nucleus is actually the low SB center of the outer isophotes
- Stellar object is a nearly superimposed globular cluster
- High resolution spectroscopy showed rapid rotation and a high velocity dispersion.
- Evidence of a Super Massive Black Hole (SMBH)
- HST provides relatively high resolution capabilities out to the Virgo Cluster (14 Mpc)
 - All galaxies (elliptical and spirals) studied in sufficient detail to date show evidence for an SMBH



Nuclei of Galaxies

Existence of SMBH suggests their formation requires residence in galactic nucleus (Ferrarese & Merritt 2000; Gebhardt et al. 2000)

- BH must from from evolution of high mass stars
- SMBH requires an efficient process for growth
- Mergers and massive accretion?
- BHs must form early (high z) and then merge/grow to become SMBHs
- Low SB disk galaxies seem to have wimpy SMBHs (few mergers?)
- Mass of the SMBH correlates with the mass of the bulge
 - Growth tied together?

٠

 Mergers could drive both both the bulge growth and fuel the SMBH



Nuclei of Galaxies

- Cores of Spiral Galaxies Can Be Complex
- Some spiral galaxies have distinct cores
 - Core has completely different rotational properties than remainder of galaxy
 - Implication is that a merger has driven gas and stars into the nucleus independent of the rest of the disk
 - Dynamical times of nucleus and disk are completely different
 - Nuclear material must be the more recent event
 - Effect seen in both gas (emission lines) and in stars (absorption lines)
 - AGN jet axis often not aligned with spiral galaxy's rotation axis
 - Further evidence that nuclear formation and accretion are distinct from the remaining galaxy



Some References

- "Nuker" Profiles: Lauer et al. 1995, AJ,110, 2622
- Composite Sersic Profiles: Trujillo et al. 2004 astroph/0403659
- Analysis and Restoration of Astronomical Data via the Fast Fourier Transform: Brault & White 1971, A&A 13, 169
- Fourier Transform and Its Applications: Bracewell (McGraw-Hill)
- Fundamental Plane of Elliptical Galaxies: Djorgovski & Davis 1987, ApJ 313, 50
- Tully-Fisher Relation: Tully & Fisher 1977, AA 54, 661